



A BUILDING FUND LEVY

At Thursday's Annual General Meeting I will be moving a recommendation from the Executive that there be added to the Students' Association Fee of £2.0.0. a Building Fund levy of £1.0.0. This is to be used to provide a new student block when development of the permanent part of the University is commenced.

Since the Gymnasium Levy lapses at the end of the year this means that the payment by each student will increase from £2 7s. 6d. to £3 0s. 0d.

The prospect of an increase in fee will, no doubt, raise questions in many students' minds. I have set out the probably questions below and have endeavoured to answer them.

Why will it be necessary to build a new Student block?

In the Block Plan for the Princes Street site as drawn up by Messrs. Massey, Beatson, Rixtrot and Carter was included a block of Students Buildings. Although the architects are now working on a new block plan provision for this will probably remain very similar.

Unless we are prepared to see the present desperately inadequate facilities in the Student Block become even more hopelessly overcrowded we must insist that the first stages of a Student Block be given top priority. The student roll in Auckland is growing at an ever-increasing rate. In 1957 the Department of Education prepared four sets of enrolment predictions for Auckland University. The highest of these is shown below (Table A). Note that even in 1959 actual enrolment (at a few short of 4,000) exceeded these highest predictions by almost 100. On this basis we can expect 5,000 students by 1961, 7,000 by 1965 and 10,000 by 1971.

When the new Student Block can be started depends on the resolving of the arguments between the Government, the University Council, the City Council and other interested

groups. To have given students a fair deal in facilities the Block should have been started two years ago. Unless we are willing to accept a drastic limitation on students' corporate activity and a disappearance of student life in the university as we now know it new buildings must be started immediately.

How much will this cost?

The cost of the new buildings depends on many variables:—how many students we are going to plan for, are these students going to have inadequate, adequate or good facilities. Estimates of cost based on a 10,000 students population and providing reasonably good facilities range between £500,000 and £600,000. To plan for less than good facilities would at this stage, I think, be unwise. When the building is started will determine how much we require at any particular time.

Why should students pay for this building?

Students should not have to pay for this building. But with the political climate as it is it would be foolish to expect anything more than a subsidy from the Government.

In a letter the Association received from the Minister of Education in

by John Strevens

Treasurer

August 1958 it was implied that we would only be granted a subsidy on any new student buildings. The other universities, all of whom have building funds have been able to secure subsidies from the Government of approximately 2 for 1 for their major projects and through their efforts they have secured improvements in their student facilities. It seems likely, therefore, that in the next 12 years we will need to find £200,000 i.e. approximately £16,000 per year. The task is difficult and to delay it any longer would make it impossible. You may ask:—Why not leave it to the Government?—if we do nothing they will eventually have to do something. But that something that they may do will probably be neither adequate nor what is required for reasonable student corporate life.

Why should next year's students pay for this building?

It can justly be stated that present students will derive little benefit from this Building Fund. But to advance this argument against the Building Fund Levy is to ignore the present facilities, inadequate though they are, which students are enjoying at no cost to themselves. The money for the present Association Building was raised by students.

There will also be an indirect benefit to next year's students in that the prospect of an assured income from the Building Fund will enable the Association to use immediately for temporary improvements about £2,000 from reserve.

What have the other universities done to provide their own buildings?

We are unfortunate in that we do not yet have a building fund. Both Otago and Victoria have already commenced building new Student Blocks to cope with their increased roll. That of Otago is costing £170,000 and Victoria's £265,000. Note that these figures are for the immediate cost of the buildings now being built—these facilities are not now complete. A large proportion of the finance for these buildings came from Building Funds raised by the students.

At the increased figure of £3 per head our Association Fee is still lower than that at any of the other universities.

In order to provide a means of getting a better grasp of the situation I have prepared the accompanying graph showing a comparison between the expected income from the Levy and the cost of the building.

The shaded-in portion at the top represents the range of estimates for the cost of the building. The lines running from the bottom left-hand corner indicate the quantity of money which will accumulate from (a) a

Table A
Enrollment
Predictions


1959	3,900
1960	4,255
1961	4,635
1962	4,975
1963	5,250
1964	5,815
1966	7,100
1965	6,535
1967	7,705
1968	8,135
1969	8,285
1970	8,570
1971	8,855
1972	9,130

Building Fund Levy of £1, (b) Capping Profits at £1,000 per year, (c) a Government Subsidy of 2 for 1 on these items.

The gap between expected income and required expenditure even allowing a two for one subsidy from the Government is approximately £200,000 gradually reducing as time goes on. The interest bill for this would be in the vicinity of £10,000 per year, a very heavy burden for even 10,000 students when this cost is added to the Building Fund (which would have to continue to repay the capital borrowed) and the cost of running the block. (The Otago executive estimate that their Student Block will cost £4,300 per year to run).

The moral of this depressing illustration is that the Building Fund should have started 20 years ago.

The question could well be raised—is £1 sufficient? A £1/10/- Building Levy would surely be more realistic. I think, however, that future plans of the Council and the Government is so uncertain that it would be unwise, at this stage, to increase any further the burden on students. At least £1 per year is a good start to Association has a considerable quantity of money to use as a bargaining weapon the new Student Buildings will be inadequate in size, limited in scope, last in priority and a perpetual reproach to those students who looked first to their own interests and ignored their responsibility to future students.





CRACCUM

The Editor accepts as little responsibility as possible for the contents of this paper, and the opinions expressed are not necessarily those of the Editor or the A.U.S.A.

THE OFFICIAL ORGAN OF THE AUCKLAND UNIVERSITY STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION (INC.)

Acting Editor: Neil Maidment

Editorial Staff: Members of the Association Executive.

The portfolio positions of the Executive for 1959-60 will be contested at the forthcoming elections. Polling days are Wednesday, June 17th and Thursday, June 18th and booths will be situated in the foyer and cloisters of the main block and at the Schools of Art, Architecture and Engineering. Any student who is a financial member of the Association is entitled to vote and indeed is exhorted to do so. A strong Association depends upon the interests of its members; if the Executive is elected to office on a low poll it is a slight on the enthusiasm of the candidates and a discreditable reflection on the student body. This week 5 positions are being contested by 9 people. If you do not know of these candidates then read what their nominators have to say about them in this issue and form your own judgment. But above all, vote.

The Winter General Meeting of the Association will be held on Thursday, June 18th in Room 19 at 8 p.m. Business will be the Chairman's Annual Report, a declaration as to the election of the Executive, various constitutional amendments relating to the raising of the Association fee and general business.

A Reply

In the last issue of Craccum which was released on the afternoon of the second polling day of the elections for President there appeared an editorial which has since been the subject of much controversy.

Amongst other things the editorial stated, "... one of the candidates appears to be working 'full-time' for the Association as his attendance at lectures has been by his own words practically non-existent. This is something which must be guarded against ... we are at University not only to be President ... but also to acquire academic qualifications." On the page opposite this editorial were photographs of the two candidates with the bold-type caption 'Academic Qualifications: Part B.A.' by my photograph and 'Academic Qualifications: M.A.' by Owen's. It seems reasonable to assume, therefore, that the editorial words "one of the candidates" refer to me, which is why I have exercised in this issue the right of reply which was not offered me by the last editor.

I am a part-time student because I cannot afford to be full-time, and I am taking History II extra-murally this year because, as my lectures are in the middle of the afternoon, my firm will not allow me to take time off to attend them. In my opinion our Association is a Students' Association and not a Graduates' Association, which already exists as a separate body. While this does not for one moment preclude graduates who are still studying from taking an active part in the Students' Association I do not agree that it is essential to have 'Academic Qualifications' to be President of the Students' Association. Obviously other factors, such as personality, leadership, character, administrative ability, impartiality in the Chair and many others must be considered. The practical exercise of the office of President requires these qualifications and does not imperatively require 'Academic Qualifications' in the sense of a degree, although, these practical qualifications being equal, a candidate with 'Academic Qualifications' would be preferable.

The President is indeed "the link with the world outside the University's ivory tower" but his contact officially with the outside world is either through the Press where his degree is not mentioned, or on social or official occasions where nobody asks whether he has a degree or not but is much more interested in what he has to tell them about the Association and its problems. The Minister of Education and the Town Clerk do not want to hear comments on the Odes of Horace from a President who is a Latin scholar, but would rather hear something of things the Association is attempting to achieve, or listen to a description of some of the many difficulties which students at this University have to face.

The editorial also suggests that the Executive is run by a "little clique". This is a widely held view and was one which I held when I stood for the Executive last year; in fact, it was one of my main reasons for standing. After a few meetings I soon realised that the "clique" consisted of most members of the Executive who voted on motions on the basis of their own individual common sense and their knowledge of Students' Association affairs — as can be proved by any constant attendee at Exec. meetings who will have seen how the members of the "clique" often held widely differing views and debated them strongly — as opposed to a few who voted for the mover of the motion

rather than its content. I believe you should vote for a motion if you think it is good, vote against it if you think it is bad and abstain if you cannot make up your mind, and I do not agree that you will form a better opinion of a motion because you have passed nine degree units instead of five.

I believe that the conditions under which we have to work at this University are disgraceful, but I do not believe we should just sit around and moan about it. I have honestly tried to the best of my ability while on Exec. to improve conditions for students now and to plan for students in the future but it is not easy or quick and difficulties are continually put in the way. It is tragic that not the least of these difficulties come from within the Association with continual 'anti-Exec' allegations of 'clique' rule, and I heartily agree with the remarks of Mrs. Chisholm, the respected and loved permanent Secretary of the Association for many years, who said on her retirement "By all means vote — everybody vote — in the Executive elections, but once you have elected your Executive let it get on with the job."

I congratulate Owen Miller, our new President, upon a fair and decisive election and assure him publicly, as I have done, privately of my support for him, the new Executive and the Students' Association. I hope everybody will do the same.

JOHN BAYLEY.

CONTEMPORARY PRINTS

JOHN LEECH GALLERY

★

50 SHORTLAND STREET

PHONE 45-081

VOTE PLEASE

IMPERIAL CROWN DRAUGHT ALE

THE BEER THAT PLEASES EVERYONE!

For Everything Musical

Lewis Fady

LIMITED

The "Box Office" :: 192 QUEEN STREET, AUCKLAND
(and at Hamilton)

PORTFOLIO ELECTIONS

Societies

Terry John Power

Nominated: B. Gustafson
P. Sinclair
J. L. Hunt

It is with confidence that we nominate Terry Power for Societies' Representative. A third year Division U Arts student originally from Waitara H.S., Taranaki, Terry is full-time and is majoring in History and English.

He has been particularly active in Club activities. A co-founder of the Conservative Club, he has been Secretary since its foundation. He is also Treasurer of the Debating Society, and on the International Relations Club committee. An active member of the S.C.M. and Historical Society he was this year Craccum's Overseas News Editor.

In sport, Terry is a Junior Soccer coach, while he plays both Soccer and Cricket for University teams.

In particular, Terry, if elected, would enquire about the existing Executive electoral system and review Societies Council with intention of reform or abolition. He would also try to raise grants to the societies.

Of pleasing yet determined disposition, Terry is an exceptionally conscientious and diligent worker whose contribution to the Executive, and to the Association it should serve, will we feel sure, be as great as his service as a member of the Association.

John Hunt

Nominated: B. Jenkins
W. J. Strevens
B. Gustafson

Jonathan Hunt is a student whose election to the Executive would be of benefit to the University as a whole. A full-time fourth year student, he completed B.A. last year and this year is reading for an honours degree in History.

In his candidature for the position of Societies Representative, his previous interest and administrative experience at this University are perhaps his strongest qualifications. He was until last week Editor of Craccum, the Student Chairman of the Conservative Club, and on the committee of the Debating Society. He has taken an active interest in the S.C.M. and E.U.; the Historical, Classical, and Literary Societies, and the International Relations and Jazz Clubs. His other interests include Literary and Musical appreciation, Table Tennis and Cricket.

We who are nominating him know from personal association that Jon is a conscientious and capable worker, with a genuine interest in student life, both academic and cultural. He is a student possessing the courage of his convictions and if elected to the Executive, he can be guaranteed to devote himself not only to his Portfolio, but also the interests of the student body as a whole. He intends

Representatives

Frank King

Nominated: A. D. Grover
C. May
C. A. Coyte

Andy Begg is a full-time science student who holds a post primary teacher's bursary. He is resident at O'Rorke where he is prominent in all hostel activities. He represented Auckland University in the 1959 Easter Tournament Swimming Team, and apart from swimming he is interested in many of the other University clubs.

Last year he was a prefect at Mt. Albert Grammar and is at present a committee member of the Dominion Recreations Association.

Andy is well known for his good humour and his integrity. After two years' association with him on various committees and with the extremely favourable impression received of his energy and willingness in executive positions I have no hesitation in recommending Andy at the polls.

to press for higher grants for societies and for a review of the existing Executive electoral system.

We recommend him to you as the right man for this important position.

A. J. C. Begg

Nominated: J. D. Beggs
M. Gregory
C. Watt

Frank King is a 5th year Science student. He has attended three years part-time when he gained much experience in industry, and has been full time for the past two years. He is completing B.Sc. this year, with Chemistry III.

A mature person of sound judgment, Frank is an excellent candidate for the position of Societies' Representative. He is a man with keen cultural interests as well as sound practical knowledge. During his varsity career he has shown extensive interest in student affairs. He has been process materials materials controller for the last three years, and has been to Congress. He played Rugby at Varsity for two years, and is a keen tennis, table-tennis player, skier, swimmer, yachtsman and chess-player. He has been strongly interested in many outdoor Clubs - Rugby, Ski Club, Field Club, and has shown interest in Photographic Society, Scientific Society, and Society for Independent Intellectuals.

Stud. Ass. in past years has had very few science students taking an interest in its Executive and we feel that this large faculty should be adequately represented. Frank King is We strongly recommend him to you. an excellent man for the position.

Sports Representatives

David Robinson

Nominated: B. A. Snook
R. H. Metcalfe
R. Cater

David Robinson is a third year student who has gained 8 units part-time towards his Law degree. He is well fitted for the position of Sports Rep. both for his contact with sport in the University and for his experience in sports administration. Interested in all sports, Dave has taken particular interest in Harrier Club in 1958, and in Athletic Club since 1957. He has represented the University at the 1957, 1958 and 1959 Tournaments, reaching the finals and gaining a place each year. He also ran at the National Junior Championships in 1958 and reached the finals in the 100 yds. and 220 yds.

Dave's sports administrative experience includes a year on the Executive as Sports Secretary, Secretary of Sports Grants Committee, of Sports Council and of Blues Committee, and he has also been a delegate to the N.Z.U. Sports Union meetings at Winter 1958 and Easter 1959 Tournaments.

He has taken an active interest in other student activities, doing backstage work for Revue and also reaching the finals of the Sir Robert Stout Shield Law Moot.

David is well-qualified to be both a Sports Representative and a valuable Executive member and we know you can have every confidence in him.

Jock Irvine

Nominated: B. Skudder
J. S. Whitelaw
J. L. Hunt

'Jock' Irvine is a student whose election as Sports Representative would be of great value to the Auckland University Students' Association. A part-time fourth year student, he is studying for his LL.B. and intends to complete at the end of next year.

Anyone wishing to represent sporting interests in the University should naturally be keen sportsmen themselves. Jock's sporting career at this University is a notable one. He played Rugby Football for two years, and then switched (some say for better, others for worse) to Soccer. So successful has he proved in this Code, that this year he was elected Club Captain and Captain of this University's top Soccer team. He is also an excellent tennis player, and is a keen skier and swimmer.

Of friendly disposition, 'Jock' is well known at this University, and, if elected, would work for the interests of the Association as a whole. He believes in closer contacts between the students and their Executive, and, from personal association, we know him to be a conscientious and capable worker, with a genuine interest in student life.

We are confident that if Jock is elected, he will be a worthy member of the new Executive—that is why we have nominated him.

Herbert Romaniuk

Nominated: N. Maidment
J. R. Firth
R. H. N. Sutton

A second-year Law student, Herb has already made his mark on student affairs in no mean way. As a member of Revue cast in 1958 and one of the early members of the first committee of the International Students Club, he showed his enthusiasm for new enterprises. In the sporting field he was first of all in the St. Peter's First XV in Rugby and also gained an inter-secondary school record in Athletics two years ago; he has also played Soccer for the Y.M.C.A. His most active interest at the moment, however, has been the inauguration of the University Judo Club, which has in a few short months built up an enthusiastic membership and has aims of getting a place in Tournament. Herb was Secretary-Treasurer and it is mainly his keen enthusiasm which gives this young Club the drive it has. Apart from all this practical experience in the sporting field, Herb has also obtained valuable committee experience so necessary to an aspiring executive member. He is on Social Committee 1959 which organises all social functions of the Association; Tournament Social Committee also had his services this year and in another field of activity he was Stunts Controller for this year's Capping and a member of Revue administration. Above all these varying qualifications, Herbert has

Chairman

Men's

House

Committee

Bob Cater

Nominated: R. Moorhead
J. Holt
B. Gustafson

Graham Thiele

Nominated: J. Gillett
M. Richards
D. R. Taylor

the vital essentials for a good executive member — the ability to mix well, a likeable personality and a keen fresh approach to his work.

AUCKLAND AND NEW ZEALAND UNIVERSITY BLUES

The purpose of this article is to inform the general student of the policy adopted by the New Zealand University Blues Panel and the Auckland University Blues Panel in awarding blues.

The first question to decide is — What is a University Blue? This may be answered briefly by saying that a University Blue is an award given by the University to those of its students who have reached a certain standard in the field of sport. There are two different sorts of Blues that may be awarded to students of the Auckland University. There is first of all the New Zealand University Blue which is available to all students throughout New Zealand and there is the Auckland University Blue available exclusively to the Members of Auckland University.

The essence of a Blue is that the recipient of the award has reached a certain standard which varies in the type of Blue awarded (e.g. A.U. Blue or N.Z.U. Blue). To maintain a rigid and set standard in the case of both Blues it has been found necessary to set up committees consisting of members who have a good knowledge of the sporting world and who do not themselves qualify for a Blue. In the interests of continuity in both the case of the A.U. Blues Panel and the N.Z.U. Blues Panel each member has a term of five years and only one member retires every year. In both cases the panels base the decisions on the recommendations of the various Blues selectors who are appointed by the club or sport concerned. However the New Zealand University Blue is much more difficult to obtain than an Auckland University Blue. The greatest achievement for a New Zealand sportsman is to represent his country and it has been said that the second greatest achievement is to get a New Zealand University Blue.

The New Zealand University Blues Panel meets at each and every tournament. Its most difficult task is to equal the Blues standard in every sport, i.e. to make a Blue in athletics equal to a blue in cricket and so on. To do this the panel decides on what is the best performance recorded in every event in the world. For instance the fastest time a man can run 100 yards is in 9.3 seconds, the best cricket team in the world is the Australian eleven, and so on. It then decides on what level New Zealand sports stands in relationship to the world's best and then how New Zealand University sport compares with the best New Zealand performance. To take an example, as New Zealand is not particularly strong in cricket not many Blues are awarded in New Zealand University Cricket which is not very strong in comparison with New Zealand Cricket. On the other hand over the past years the standard of shooting in New Zealand has been very high in comparison with world standards and New Zealand University standard has been equally of high

standard resulting in a large number of Blues being awarded (although through bad conditions very few were awarded in shooting this year).

The above is briefly the method applied by the New Zealand University Blues Committee. They do not, however, have to base their decision on the recommendations of the selectors and may (and cases do exist where this has been done) consider a performance worthy of a Blue which has been rejected by the selectors. Although as a general rule the New Zealand Blues is of a lower standard than a New Zealand Representative Blazer there are cases where a New Zealand Rep. has not been considered worthy of a New Zealand Blue.

The New Zealand University Blues Committee may take into consideration performances over the previous season though as a matter of policy only performances at tournament are considered. Where, however, there is evidence that at tournament the performance is only a "flash in the pan" and unlikely to be repeated, or where conditions are so bad that it is impossible to record a good performance the previous performances recorded that season may be taken into account.

The Auckland University Blue is similar to the New Zealand University Blue only on a provincial and not a national basis. An Auckland University Blue is awarded for performances that are of or near to provincial standard. If a person can qualify for an Auckland Provincial Team and is a student of the Auckland University in terms of the Constitution of the New Zealand University Sports Union such a student is eligible for a Blue. Where a student has just missed out on representing the Auckland Province or made an Auckland 'B' team the panel will consider the strength of Auckland in that field of sport before awarding a Blue. In border-line cases and only in border-line cases will the question of service to relevant university club be considered. None of these rules are hard and fast but are in most cases followed by the panel. A person who has qualified for a New Zealand University Blue almost invariably qualifies for an Auckland University Blue.

The methods outlined above are in the opinions of the Sports Representatives fair and reasonable in the circumstances. Both Reps. have served on the Auckland University Blues Panel and were impressed by the thorough and capable way in which the panel dealt with blues nominations. At its last meeting especially the panel was greatly assisted by the excellent way in which the selectors from each club presented his or her

THE INTELLIGENTSIA

of Auckland University are regular depositors of the AUCKLAND SAVINGS BANK.

ARE YOU?

nominations in writing and answered the questions of the panel and the panel are most appreciative of this. The panel have however for various reasons found it necessary to put off the consideration of Blues in certain cases till the next meeting. This method may only be resorted to for a limited amount of time since it is quite possible that a ridiculous and hopeless situation could arise that the panel would find itself considering Blues that were earned two or three years previously. The panel consider that this would be impossible and has therefore decided not to consider any Blues nominations that it has had to defer for more than a period of over three meetings. A case at present is the case of the Indoor Basketball Club whose nominations could not be considered at the panel's meeting last year as they required the selector to be present in person. The club was duly notified of this fact and at the last meeting of the panel failed to attend once again. Should a selector

from this club not turn up at the next meeting the panel will not consider the nominations which is rather a pity since there are amongst the nominations performances that could quite well merit a Blue.

At its last meeting the panel awarded the following Blues which were approved by the Executive. The recipients are congratulated on their fine achievements.

1958 season: A. Davies, W. Nepia and G. Loveridge (Rugby). W. Walker (Boxing).

1958-59 season: J. T. Sparling, R. W. McKinnon, P. M. Irwin (Cricket). J. Sned (Swimming). J. Court, K. Boswell (Water Polo). J. Mackin, H. Calder (Rowing). C. Elliott, R. Jenks, M. Chamberlain, M. Lawton (Women's Rowing). D. Proctor (Defence Rifles). A. Aston, L. Amor, A. Divich, J. Lees, C. Ormsby, B. Robinson (Athletics).

EXEC.

NOTES

One of the most interesting meetings of the Executive held so far this year took place on June 8th with an audience of about thirty students who unfortunately left before much of the most important business was transacted.

The Winter season Sports Club grants were ratified, and also the remainder of the Societies grants, the Treasurer commenting that this year has shown an increase in the total amount given to Societies and a decrease in that given to Sports.

The Blues Panel brought down recommendations for Blues awards and these too were ratified. It is hard to distinguish any names to the exclusion of others, but one does notice Nepia for Rugby, John Sparling in Cricket, Keith Boswell in Water Polo and Barry Robinson in Athletics. The full list of Blues will be found in the Exec. minutes on the notice board.

John Stevens presented a very full case for the recommendation to the A.G.M. of various constitutional changes, the effect of which would institute a building fund levy of £1 per member per annum and provide the mechanics for the control of the Trust Fund so formed, with the President of the Association as the Chairman of the Board of Trustees. These recommendations were carefully considered and it was decided to put them forward as Exec. motions. In connection with this Mr. Boag was present at part of the meeting and reported that Council's plans for obtaining the services of Professor Matthews as architect for the new buildings were favourably under way. The House Committees, Sports Council and Societies Council and the Caf. Manager are to be asked to send in a list of facilities they think would be desirable in the new Students' Union building.

There was considerable discussion as to the violation of an A.G.M. motion concerning editorial policy at the time of student elections the result of which was to dismiss the editor who had published the material and to appoint Neil Maidment as Acting-Editor for the purpose of producing this issue of the newspaper.

Michael Freyne's long and valuable services to the Association including his work as Vice-President, Acting-President and Chairman of the Executive and as a delegate to N.Z.U.S.A. Council for many years were marked by his being made a Life Member of the Association. This is an honour normally accorded to Presidents on their going out of office, but very seldom to anyone else.

Mr. Boag who has been the student representative on the University Council for the last two years was re-appointed for a further term, and the meeting closed just after 11.30 p.m.

PAUL'S

Stock New and Second-hand University Text Books.

OUR STAFF

MAKES

BUYING A

PLEASURE

(See Capping Book)

PAULS

Phone 43-515

28 Shortland St.

UNIVERSITY BLAZERS

COLLEGE

REP

BLUES

MADE TO MEASURE or READY-TO-WEAR

ALSO FOR ALL YOUR MERCERY & SUITS

THE HOUSE OF FLACKSON LTD.

KARANGAHAPE RD. Appointed by Stud. Assn.